COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 24

APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050

COPY MAILED

MAY 1 0 2004

In re Application of Hanawa et al.

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 09/638,075

DECISION ON

Filed: August 11, 2000

Title of Invention: EXTERNALLY EXCITED

PETITION

TORROIDAL PLASMA

This is a decision in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.183, filed April 16, 2004, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application. The petition is properly treated under 37 CFR 1.181.

This Petition is hereby dismissed.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137." This is not final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely and properly pay the issue fee in response to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due ("Notice"), mailed December 3, 2002. The Notice set a non-extendable three (3) month period for reply. No response having been received, the application became abandoned on March 4, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed February 2, 2004.

Applicant files the instant petition wherein Applicant avers that he did not receive the Office action. In support of this assertion, Applicant files a statement that the Notice was not received by the Applicant and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicate that the Notice was not received, and copies of his docket record where the non-received Notice would have been entered had it been received and docketed.

A review of the instant petition and application file reveal that the correspondence address differs from that of the person filing the instant petition. Further to this, it is unclear from a review of the instant petition and supporting copies of Petitioner's docket record whether the docket records are that of Petitioner, or that of Applied Materials, Inc., the correspondence addressee of record. The instant petition alludes to a process whereby Office communication is received at Applied Materials, Inc., and then forwarded to Petitioner. It is therefore possible that Applied Materials, Inc. received the Office communication and failed to forward the communication to Petitioner.

Petitioner must clarify whether the docket records are that of Petitioner, or that of Applied Materials, Inc. A copy of the docket records from Applied Materials, Inc. are what is required. In addition, the statement that the Notice was not received by Applied Materials and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicate that the Notice was not received at Applied Materials, Inc., must be from a person in a position to know that Applied Materials, Inc., has searched the file jacket and docket records and said search indicate that the Notice was not received.

Accordingly, Petitioner's assertion, that the Office action was not received, is insufficient to justify withdrawing the holding of abandonment. Petitioner should file a Request for Reconsideration of Petition and include the necessary statements and copies of documents.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: 2201 South Clark Place

Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby Room 1B03

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0014.

Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions